

Remarks

Taxon: *Asproparthenis carinata*

1. Taxonomy of this genus is still poorly understood and some of the species appear to be complexes of sibling taxa. Therefore, distribution must be considered as the distribution of the species-group. Moreover, some of the identifications reported in the cited papers are questionable. 2. The paper by Gebler (1829), formerly considered to have been published in 1830, and dated as such on the first page, actually appeared on October 4, 1829 (Schmitt 2017 and Alonso-Zarazaga, personal communication). The paper by Zubkov (1829) was surely printed after November 1, 1829 (date of the printing permit as reported on the second page). Therefore, *Cleonus halophilus* Gebler, 1829 has priority over *Cleonis carinata* Zubkov, 1829. Pending further study on the possible application of Art. 23.9 ICZN, or alternatively to propose conservation of *C. carinata* Zubkov, 1829 to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, the present day status is here maintained.